

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/455,109	12/06/1999	JAMES H. WOLFSTON JR.	P-01777-ŲS1	4659
25784 7590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER HONG, STEPHEN S		
MICHAEL O. SCHEINBERG				
P.O. BOX 164140 AUSTIN, TX 78716-	· =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application in Summary Application is application for action summary Application is application for actions as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Calmis 4) Claim(s) 1.27 Is are subjected to by the Examiner. 4) Claim(s) 1.27 Is are subjected to by the Examiner. 4) Claim(s) 1.27 Is are subjected to by the Examiner. 4) Claim(s) 1.27 Is are subjected to by the Examiner. 4) Application is objected to by the Examiner. 4) Application is objected to by the Examiner. 4) Application is provided and is objected to by the Examiner. 4) Application is provided and is objected to by the Examiner. 4) Claim(s) 1.27 Is are subjected to by the Examiner. 4) Claim(s) 1.27 Is are subjected to by the Examiner. 4) Application is provided and the provided to the control of th							
Examin r Stephen S. Hong		Applicati n N .	applicant(s)				
Stephen S. Hong 2178		09/455,109	WOLFSTON ET AL.				
— The MALING DATE If this communication appears on th cover sheet with the correspondenc address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the processor of 3 CRF 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed If the pescod for reply appealled above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the satulary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered friency. If the pescod for reply appealled above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the statulary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered friency. If the pescod for reply appealled above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the statulary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered friency. If the pescod for reply appealled above is less than the replaced period the period of the communication of the pescod replaced the replaced of the communication. If the pescod for replaced replaced is the statulary pescod will appeal and experience and decrease. Appropriate term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76(4). **Responsive to communication(s) filed on **D6 November 2003**. 2a)	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In ne event, however, may a raphy be timely filed described on the major be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In ne event, however, may a raphy be timely filed described on the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In ne event, however, may a raphy be timely filed described on the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In the provision of the provision of 38 CFR 1.38(a). In the provision of 48 CFR 1							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edeficient of time may be available under the provides of 3FCR 1.38(e). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period or may be send the above is less than the Vigol days, a reply within the statutory minimum at thaty (30) days will be accessionable to the communication. If the period or may be send above is less than the Vigol days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thaty (30) days will be accessionable to the send or continued period for reply will, by statutory minimum of thaty (30) days will be accessionable to the send or continued period for reply will, by statutor, access the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Failure to reply within the set of or continued period for reply will, by statutor, cause the application, even if timely filled, may reduce any examine place time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) A responsive to communication(s) filled on Off November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is one-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1 is accepted or 1 in acc		ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondenc address				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.27 is/are rejected to . 8) Claim(s) 28-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 Notice of Datsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI II, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4½ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 28-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>06 November 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 28-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u>_</u>						
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Aktachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 28-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 28-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9	·_						
8) Claim(s) 28-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<u> </u>	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· ·	Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Alignment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	2) Denotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	0-948) 5) Notice of					

Application/Control Number: 09/455,109 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed on November 6, 2003 to the Application filed on 8/25/99.

2. In the amendment claims 28-44 have been added. Accordingly, claims 1-44are pending in the case. Claims 1, 9, 15, 21 and 25 are independent claims.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 28-44 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 28-44 are directed to the specific feature of providing communications which would have been searched in Class 709 subclass 203+, and that the newly added claims would have been restricted if originally presented as a subcombination invention with the earlier presented claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 28-44 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2178

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-12, 15-18 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Center for Matalloenzyme Studies: Summer Undergraduate Research Program (herein SURP; www.uga.edu/cms/SURP.html 3/1/1998).

4. **Regarding independent claims 1, 9, and 15,** SURP teaches presenting an application form over a computer network to an applicant, the application including at least one user-activateable hyperlink (the input boxes of the application are located on page 3 and hyperlinks are located on page 2, "first *visit this page* to select...," and page 3, "See this page for faculty list.").

SURP does not explicitly teach, upon activation of the hyperlink, displaying a communication to the applicant from an identified individual associated with the institution, thereby encouraging the applicant to complete the application by socializing the application process with a communication to the applicant from the identified individual. However, SURP does teach, upon activation of the hyperlink, displaying a web page from which a user can access a communication to the applicant from an identified individual associated with the institution, thereby encouraging the applicant to complete the application by socializing the application process with a communication to the applicant from the identified individual. When either of the previously mentioned hyperlinks is activated, the CMS Faculty page is retrieved, displaying a list of professors associated with the university. Further activation of the hyperlinks associated with each faculty member retrieves a communication from the respective faculty member. It would

Art Unit: 2178

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify SURP so that the hyperlink located on the application would have retrieved the communication as well. Such would have been done if fewer professors were involved to not require extra clicks from a user or to present the communications to the user quicker.

Page 4

- 5. **Regarding dependent claim 2,** SURP teaches the institution being a college or university and the identified individual being a student, faculty member, or alumnus associated with the institution (the institution is associated with the University of Georgia and the individuals are professors).
- 6. **Regarding dependent claims 3, 10, and 16,** SURP teaches the communication describing the individual and providing a means of communication (the professors display names and backgrounds and provide e-mails, see the individual faculty pages, e.g. Harry A. Dailey).
- 7. **Regarding dependent claim 4,** SURP teaches the communication including a still or motion image of the individual (photos are shown of most professors, see CMS Faculty and the individual faculty pages).
- 8. **Regarding dependent claim 7,** SURP teaches the communication including contact information (the professors provide e-mails, see the individual faculty pages, e.g. Harry A. Dailey).

Art Unit: 2178

9. Regarding dependent claim 8, SURP teaches providing to the user a response

Page 5

individual associated with the university and a means of contacting the individual. This

when an application is completed, the response containing a message from an

means of contact is available only after a user completes the application and submits it.

10. **Regarding dependent claims 11 and 17,** SURP teaches the communication

including a new Web Page and a description of the individual (see CMS Faculty and the

individual faculty pages). SURP does not explicitly teach the communication including a

Web Page in a pop-up window. However, it was known and typical in the art at the time

of the invention for new browser windows to be presented in either the same window as

the activated link, or presented in a new window acting as a pop-up window. It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify

SURP in order to enable the associated pages to appear in a pop-up window. This

would have given the user the ability to view both the communication and the

application at the same time, allowing the user to continue filling out the application,

copy and paste information between windows easily, and read the communication at

will.

11. Regarding dependent claim 12 and 18, the claim incorporates substantially

similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claims 5-6, 13-14, 19-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over SURP in view of Popp et al (herein Popp; USPN 6249291 -

filing date 9/22/1995).

Art Unit: 2178

12.

Regarding dependent claims 5, 13, 14, and 19, SURP does not explicitly teach

the communication content being dependent on information entered into the form.

However, Popp does teach delivering a web page to a user wherein the web page is a

response to a user that depends on a user's input (col 3, In 35-40 and 57-63). It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

combine SURP and Popp. Such a combination would have made the creation of the

application web page easier by implementing an object-oriented paradigm.

13. **Regarding dependent claim 6, SURP does not explicitly teach the**

communication content being assembled in response to activation of the hyperlink.

However, Popp does teach the dynamic creation of a web page (col 3, In 35-40 and 57-

63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to combine Popp and SURP in such a way to dynamically assemble content in

response to the activation of a hyperlink. This would have allowed a web page to be

responsive to user input on a prior page. For example, if a number of SURP applicants

had already chosen a professor with whom they want to do research a responsive web

page could inform the applicant to choose a different professor, or address a different

problematic input error.

14. Regarding dependent claim 20, SURP does not explicitly teach the

communication being constructed from components. However, Popp does teach the

construction of web pages by using various components (col 4, In 41-47). It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/455,109 Page 7

Art Unit: 2178

SURP and Popp. Such a combination would have made the creation of the application web page easier by implementing an object-oriented paradigm.

- 15. Regarding independent claims 21 and 25, SURP teaches presenting an electronic form over a computer network to the user, the form including plural information fields and at least one user-activateable hyperlink that is activateable by the user after the user enters information into one or more predefined information fields of the form (the information fields of the form are located on page 3 and hyperlinks, activateable after the user enters information into one or more of the fields, are located on page 2, "first visit this page to select...," and page 3, "See this page for faculty list."

 Of the CMS: Summer Undergraduate Research Program web page).
- 16. SURP teaches, upon activation of the hyperlink, displaying to the user information that relates to the underlying purpose of the form. SURP does not explicitly teach displaying customized information that is parametrically customized according to information in one or more of the predefined information fields. However, Popp does teach delivering information to a user wherein the information is a response to a user and the information is customized based on input provided by the user in the previous page (col 3, ln 35-40 and 57-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine SURP and Popp. Such a combination would have made the creation of the application web page easier by implementing an object-oriented paradigm and would have better informed users by enabling custom messages to be presented to users.

Art Unit: 2178

17. Regarding dependent claim 22 and 26, SURP teaches including a communication to a user from an identified individual associated with the institution.

When either of the hyperlink located on the CMS: Summer Undergraduate Research Program web page are activated, the CMS Faculty page is retrieved, displaying a list of professors associated with the university and communications regarding their respective work. Further activation of the hyperlinks associated with each faculty member retrieves an extended communication from the respective faculty member.

Page 8

- 18. Regarding dependent claim 23 and 27, SURP teaches an institution being a college or university. SURP does not explicitly teach an admission form into a college. However, SURP does teach a college-associated research program admission form. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use similar means with standard college admission forms. This would have made applying to the school easier, cheaper, and faster for many students with Internet access.
- 19. **Regarding dependent claim 24,** SURP teaches communication information that does not explicitly relate to instructions for completing the application (see CMS Faculty and the individual faculty pages).

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed on November 6, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 8 of the amendment, Applicant provides the following arguments:



Art Unit: 2178

Claim 1 is a method of encouraging completion of forms through the introduction "during forms processing of appropriate communication to the applicant..."

SURP teaches viewing faculty advisor web pages before the student begins to complete the form, not "during forms processing." SURP states "[F]irst visit this page to select your three choices of faculty advisor, then submit the online application form at the bottom of this page..."

Applicant addresses and solves the problem of individuals beginning to complete a form and then failing to complete it. The number of admission application received by a school can be reduced because some students that begin a lengthy admissions form lack the motivation to complete the form. By encouraging completion of a form once started, the number of admissions applications can be increased. This problem is not addressed in SURP, in which the links are accessed before beginning the form. Taking the SURP reference as a whole for what it teaches, it teaches selecting a faculty advisor and then completing the form.

Examiner disagrees with the argument. It should be note that the Claims define the metes and bounds of the invention. In the instant case, the claim, specifically in the preamble, states "during forms processing of appropriate communication to the applicant..." However, the claim does not *limit* as to what can be considered as the "forms processing." In SURP, the "forms processing" consists of several steps that include visiting the initial page and the filling out the actual form fields. It appears that the Applicant may be arguing that the forms processing is limited to when the form page is being displayed on the screen. Nevertheless, there is no claimed limitation that requires the form processing session to be limited in such way. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, Applicant should note that a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended

Art Unit: 2178

use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 308-5465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2178

Page 11

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Stephen Hong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2178

January 9, 2004